

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,250	01/23/2002	Russell T. Davis	7643.0042	1920
	7590 02/08/2007	V GARRETT & DUNNER	EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			NGUYEN, CHAU T	
	RK AVENUE, NW N, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI	
WISHINGIO	11, 20 20001 1113		2176	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Reexamination	:	
	10/052,250	DAVIS ET AL.		
		Art Unit		
	Heather R. Herndo	n 2176		
Document Code - AP.PRE.				
Notice of Panel De	cision from	Pre-Appeal Brief F	Review	
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Bri	ef Request for Revie	ew filed <u>1-26-07</u> .		
 Improper Request – The Req reason(s): 	uest is improper and	a conference will not be held for	the following	
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. 				
Other: The time period for filing a response			Appeal or from	
the mail date of the last Office comm				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent A held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice appeal brief is extendible under 37 C of the notice of appeal, as applicable	appeal because their in accordance with 3 cm mailing this decise of appeal, whicheve FR 1.136 based upon	re is at least one actual issue for a 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for sion, or the balance of the two-mo er is greater. Further, the time per	appeal. Applicant r filing an appeal nth time period iod for filing of the	
The panel has determined	the status of the clair	m(s) is as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6, 8-21, 23-34, 36-64.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
 Allowable application – A cor Allowance will be mailed. Prosecutio applicant at this time. 				
4. Reopen Prosecution – A confaction will be mailed. No further action			a new Office	
All participants: Heather R. Hea	lon- emdon			
Heather R. Herndos upervisory Pater Technology Cer	it Examiner nter 2100 (3) <u>Steve Hong</u> .		

(4)_____

Application/Control No.

Applicant(s)/Patent under

(2) Chau Nguyen.